

Technical Data Bulletin #237 – First Published September 2015

## Right Fit Test, Right Now 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System



Do you know what level of protection your employees are receiving from their hearing protectors? Now you can with a field attenuation estimation system (FAES). FAES is a quantitative method for individual fit testing hearing protection devices (HPDs). This technical bulletin describes the 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System and the value it can provide for your hearing loss prevention program (HLPP).

### The Technology

The 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System is based on field microphone-in-real ear (F-MIRE) technology. The system consists of a specially designed loudspeaker equipped with a digital signal processor that allows for a consistent presentation of the test signal and real-time communication between the microphones, speaker and software. Specially designed, dual-element microphones make it possible to measure the sound level at 2 different locations and for both ears simultaneously. The external microphones measure the level of the test signal outside the ear. The internal microphones are connected to one of the specially modified 3M™ probed hearing protectors to allow measurement of the sound level inside the wearer's ear canal while the hearing protector is worn. The 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System also has earmuff testing capabilities. Both ears are accurately measured simultaneously, and in less than 5 seconds, a personal attenuation rating (PAR) is displayed.



### It Starts with PAR

The measurement of attenuation for a fit tested hearing protector is displayed as a PAR. To be sure that your employees are getting the protection they need, you should to know the PAR of every employee. Hearing protector ratings such as the noise reduction rating (NRR), the single number rating (SNR) and the sound level conversion (SLC<sub>80</sub>) are derived from measurements gathered in a carefully controlled laboratory environment. Although the ratings are an accurate measurement of the capabilities of a particular hearing protector under the specific test conditions, they may not represent what an individual worker will achieve when the device is worn. Most employees receive adequate protection, while some may not if they are not using the hearing protector correctly or have not chosen the correct size or style (Figure 1). Note the wide range of PAR values tested on 4 earplugs considered high attenuating according to their labeled values.




Figure 1 – Initial baseline PAR measurements of 4 earplugs for a group of manufacturing workers (n=322).

Why use PAR vs. the labeled value? Figure 2 displays PAR values for earplug #4, measured on 157 employees. The blue represents the workers with PAR values (minus their uncertainties), who achieved at least the OSHA derating value or more. But the PAR values in red indicate the workers would have not met the derated criteria. This highlights the importance of using individual worker attenuation data rather than labeled values, even when derated, to predict user protection.




Figure 2 – PAR values minus uncertainties who would have passed/failed using the OSHA derated criteria

## WHO do you test and who conducts the testing?

### *Who Should Be Tested?*

The target population is presumably the group of employees expected to be at risk for noise-induced hearing loss (NIHL) and may either be limited or broadly defined. Examples of target populations include:

- Newly hired employees
- Employees with a measured temporary threshold shift (TTS) or standard threshold shift (STS)
- Subset of employees who work in the highest noise areas or are required to wear dual hearing protection
- Subset of employees who are at the margins of inclusion for mandatory hearing protection
- Subset of employees exposed to ototoxic chemicals as well as to noise
- All employees who qualify for inclusion in the hearing conservation program
- All employees regardless of noise exposure at work



### *Who Conducts the Fit Tests and/or Manages the Fit-Test Program?*

In general, those responsible for the HLPP will manage the fit-test program. Examples of personnel typically responsible, previously referred to as *operators*, for conducting fit tests include:

- Industrial hygienists
- CAOHC certified personnel
- Safety professionals
- Occupational health nurses
- Vendor-trained personnel
- Independent or authorized service providers

## HOW do you use the 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System?

Newly hired employees unfamiliar with hearing protector use will require careful training along with fit testing to ensure they are adequately protected against the hazardous noise. All other workers could follow the decision tree below (Fig 3) when conducting fit tests for the first time. Baseline measurements capture how employees “normally” wears their hearing protectors and serve as a benchmark for all future measurements. Caution: HPD fit testing should not be performed on employees experiencing ear pain on the day of testing.




Figure 3 – Best practice fit-testing decision tree

### How Much Protection Do Employees Need?

Each employee’s target PAR is based on the company exposure limit and the employee’s exposure. For example, if Employee A has a time-weighted average (TWA) of 95 dBA and the company exposure limit is 80 dB, then the employee must receive a PAR of at least 15 or above in order to ✔ “Pass”. If the employee achieved 10-dB PAR, then the measurement will display ✘ “Fail”.

Example:	95 dBA	TWA
	<u>-80 dBA</u>	Company Exposure Limit
	15 dBA	Target Minimum PAR

### How Often Should You Fit Test?

Much like audiometric testing, hearing protector fit testing is not a one-time event. Ideally, all employees in your hearing conservation program should be initially fit tested. For those who required extra training and/or a new size or style hearing protection, early follow-up is recommended to be sure the employee

has access to the newly assigned hearing protectors, is wearing the usual or newly assigned hearing protectors, and the HPD is continuing to provide adequate protection. Annual HPD fit testing can provide assurance the hearing protectors are being worn correctly and give additional opportunity for further hearing conservation training.

#### *How is fit testing conducted and where?*

The physical location for testing may vary depending on your company but implementing fit testing is more efficient and effective if:

- The fit-test location is centrally located and easily accessible to the workers.
- Managers and supervisors are engaged in the process and can assist in assuring the workers can leave their workstations to be fit tested quickly and on a timely basis.
- A flexible schedule with individual time slots is employed.
- A “wrangler” is designated who can assist and ensure the efficient flow of workers to be tested.
- Educational materials and tools are available if additional training is required.
- A complete selection of hearing protector samples of all types that are used at the plant is available to give to workers, especially for cases in which they have been “reassigned” a different size or style based on fit test results.

## **WHY** use the 3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System?

Incorporating fit testing within an HLPP results in a multitude of benefits.

The list below highlights the most compelling reasons why you should use the 3M E-A-Rfit Dual-Ear Validation System.

1. Identify workers with low PARs who are consequently at risk for developing NIHL.
2. Verify the performance of HPDs without relying on laboratory-derived labels and derating schemes that are intended for groups of employees and not individual workers.
3. Train and motivate employees on the proper use and fit of HPDs.
4. Select and assign HPDs based on noise exposures, expected protection levels and the anatomy of the worker’s ear canal.
5. Train personnel responsible for teaching employees the proper use and fit of HPDs.
6. Provide a useful standard-threshold-shift (STS) follow-up procedure that can be used to determine whether the problem may be related to either the fit or the attenuation capabilities of the HPD.
7. Provide data that may be accepted by regulatory agencies as a better alternative to using labeled attenuation values and derated to assess HPD adequacy.
8. Audit departments to evaluate overall HPD effectiveness and suitability.
9. Provide potentially useful documentation to demonstrate hearing loss prevention efforts conducted by a company that go beyond regulatory requirements.



## More Information:

3M™ E-A-Rfit™ Dual-Ear Validation System Website – <http://3M.com/earfit>

User Support Website – <http://earfit.3M.com>

3M E-A-Rfit Dual-Ear Validation Training, click [here](#)

### Third-Party Validation Studies

[Trompette, N. & Kusy, A. \(2013\)](#)

[Voix, J., Pienkowski, J., & Delnavaz, A. \(2014\)](#)

[Dyrba, P., Dantscher, S., Augustin, S., Fritsch, T., Sikert, P. \(2014\)](#)

### Published Field Research

[Smith, P., Monaco, B., & Lusk, S.L. \(2014\)](#)



Personal Safety Division

3M Center, Bldg. 235-2NW-70

St. Paul, MN 55144

[www.3M.com/Hearing](http://www.3M.com/Hearing)

© 3M 2016. All Rights Reserved. 3M, E-A-Rfit, and all other trademarks used herein are trademarks of 3M Company, and used under license in Canada.